

LIS590MGL – Project Management for LIS
Semester: Spring 2016
Instructor: Kevin Trainor
Assignment: Student Paper
Course Component: Student Paper
Grading Rubric

Submission

Timeliness Requirements

Points	Description
38	Total possible points
0	On time
-5	Less than 2 days late
-10	2 or more days late
-38	Paper not submitted

File Requirements

Points	Description
10	Total possible points
0	File meets all expectations.
-5	File not in PDF format.
-5	File name does not follow standard.
-10	Paper not submitted

Project Manager Report

Project Description

Points	Description
10	Total possible points
0	Notably exceeded expectations for content, analysis, or expression.
-0.8333	Met all expectations. Based upon this scale, papers that meet all expectations earn a 95.
-1.8334	The organization for which the project took place is not adequately described.
-1.8334	The stakeholders with an interest in the project are not adequately described.
-1.8334	The problem or opportunity that the project addresses is not adequately described.
-1.8334	The scope constraints under which the project operates (or operated) are not adequately described.
-1.8334	The extent to which the project is meeting (or met) time, cost, scope, and quality goals are not adequately described.
-3	The section is not properly named or placed according to the prescribed outline.
-10	Section was not submitted.

Description of Project Management Approach (agile, traditional, combination)

Points	Description
8	Total possible points
0	Notably exceeded expectations for content, analysis, or expression.
-0.8333	Met all expectations. Based upon this scale, papers that meet all expectations earn a 95.
-1.7917	The project management approach has not been described as agile, traditional, or combination.
-1.7917	The project manager's rationale for choosing this project management approach has not been adequately described.
-1.7917	The project manager's point of view regarding the impact of the project management approach taken has not been described.
-1.7917	The project manager's point of view regarding how they might make a different choice on their next project has not been adequately discussed.
-3	The section is not properly named or placed according to the prescribed outline.
-8	Section was not submitted.

Usage of Formal Project Management Tools & Techniques

Points	Description
8	Total possible points
0	Notably exceeded expectations for content, analysis, or expression.
-0.8333	Met all expectations. Based upon this scale, papers that meet all expectations earn a 95.
-1.7917	The formal project management tools and techniques that were used have not been adequately identified.
-1.7917	The project manager's rationale for including or excluding particular formal project management tools and techniques have not been adequately described.
-1.7917	The project manager's point of view regarding the impact of formal project management tools and techniques on the project has not been adequately discussed.
-1.7917	The project manager's point of view regarding how they might make different choices on their next project has not been adequately discussed.
-3	The section is not properly named or placed according to the prescribed outline.
-8	Section was not submitted.

Student Insights

Expected Project Description

Points	Description
10	Total possible points
0	Notably exceeded expectations for content, analysis, or expression.
-0.8333	Met all expectations. Based upon this scale, papers that meet all expectations earn a 95.
-1.8334	The extent to which the student expects a future project to be the same or different than the subject project was not adequately discussed.
-1.8334	The organization for which the project will take place is not adequately described.
-1.8334	The stakeholders who will have an interest in the project are not adequately described.
-1.8334	The problem or opportunity that the project will address is not adequately described.
-1.8334	The scope constraints under which the project may operate are not adequately described.
-3	The section is not properly named or placed according to the prescribed outline.
-10	Section was not submitted.

Description of Project Management Approach (agile, traditional, combination)

Points	Description
8	Total possible points
0	Notably exceeded expectations for content, analysis, or expression.
-0.8333	Met all expectations. Based upon this scale, papers that meet all expectations earn a 95.
-2.3889	The project management approach has not been described as agile, traditional, or combination.
-2.3889	The student's rationale for choosing this project management approach has not been adequately described.
-2.3889	The student's point of view regarding the impact of the project management approach taken has not been described.
-3	The section is not properly named or placed according to the prescribed outline.
-8	Section was not submitted.

Expected Usage of Formal Project Management Tools & Techniques

Points	Description
8	Total possible points
0	Notably exceeded expectations for content, analysis, or expression.
-0.8333	Met all expectations. Based upon this scale, papers that meet all expectations earn a 95.
-1.7917	The formal project management tools and techniques that would be used have not been adequately identified.
-1.7917	The student's rationale for including or excluding particular formal project management tools and techniques have not been adequately described.
-1.7917	The student's point of view regarding the impact of formal project management tools and techniques on the project has not been adequately discussed.
-1.7917	The extent to which the organization is likely to have had prior experience with formal project management has not been adequately discussed.
-3	The section is not properly named or placed according to the prescribed outline.
-8	Section was not submitted.

General Remarks

General Remarks

Points	Description
0	No credit was anticipated for this section.

Total Available Points = 100